

Derived Categories of Coherent Sheaves II

The Bondal–Orlov Reconstruction Theorem

Jan Hennig, Julian Reichardt, Fabian Rodatz



**BERGISCHE
UNIVERSITÄT
WUPPERTAL**



15.09.2026

Introduction

Notation: Let k be a field. All varieties will be k -varieties, typically smooth projective.

Talk 1: Introduction to the **derived category of coherent sheaves**

Notation: For X a variety, write $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{X}) := \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{coh}}^b(X)$ for the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X .

Talk 2 and Talk 3: Discussion of $\mathrm{D}^b(X)$ as an **invariant of X**

More precisely, the guiding question for the remaining talks is the following:

What can we say about X knowing only $\mathrm{D}^b(X)$?

The Bondal–Orlov Reconstruction Theorem

Theorem (Bondal–Orlov)

Let X, Y be smooth projective k -varieties such that there is a k -linear exact equivalence

$$D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)$$

of their derived categories. If $\omega_X^{\pm 1}$ is ample, then $\omega_Y^{\pm 1}$ is ample and $X \cong Y$.

Goals: Give (partial) answers to the following:

- What role does ampleness play in the theorem?
- Why do we consider (ampleness of) the (anti)canonical bundles?
- How do we construct an isomorphism from the equivalence?

Cohomological Reconstruction of Projective Varieties I

The information the derived category of a variety carries is primarily of cohomological nature. Thus, we try to **reconstruct** a variety from its cohomology.

Recall that a **(very) ample line bundle** $\mathcal{L}$ on X determines a closed embedding $i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ so that $\mathcal{L} \cong i^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^N}(1)$. The associated **cohomology ring** is:

$$A_{X,\mathcal{L}} := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$$

It **reconstructs** X in the sense that $X \cong \text{Proj}(A_{X,\mathcal{L}})$.

Cohomological Reconstruction of Projective Space

Example. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^d$ and let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}(1)$. Then

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^d, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}(n)) \cong k[x_0, \dots, x_d]_n$$

and

$$\mathrm{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \right) \cong \mathrm{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} k[x_0, \dots, x_d]_n \right).$$

In particular, we have $A_{\mathbb{P}_k^d, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}(1)} \cong k[x_0, \dots, x_d]$.

Example. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_k^d$ and let $\mathcal{L} = \omega_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}(-d-1)$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \omega_X^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}(d+1)$ determines a closed embedding $i: \mathbb{P}_k^d \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^N$ for $N = \binom{2n+1}{n+1} - 1$. This is the **Veronese embedding of degree $n+1$** . It realises $\mathbb{P}_k^d$ as a closed subvariety of $\mathbb{P}_k^N$. The **anticanonical ring** $A_{\mathbb{P}_k^d, \omega_{\mathbb{P}_k^d}^{-1}}$ is the associated homogeneous coordinate ring.

Cohomological Reconstruction of Projective Varieties II

Let X, Y be (smooth) projective k -varieties and let

$$F: D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)$$

be a k -linear exact equivalence.

Suppose that we can reconstruct X from $\mathcal{L}_X$ and we can reconstruct Y from $\mathcal{L}_Y$. A priori, we have no control about what type of complexes $F(\mathcal{L}_X)$ and $F^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_Y)$ are. In fact, there is no reason for $\mathcal{L}_X$ and $\mathcal{L}_Y$ to be related in any way whatsoever.

This is why we consider the **(anti)canonical bundles** $\omega_X^{\pm 1}$ and $\omega_Y^{\pm 1}$. They are intimately tied to the structure of **categories** $D^b(X)$ and $D^b(Y)$ via the associated **Serre functors**.

Serre Duality and Serre Functors I

Theorem (Serre Duality)

For any locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on X and for all i , there are natural isomorphisms of the form:

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{E}) \cong H^{\dim X - i}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X)^\vee$$

Recall that for $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X)$, the Hom spaces in $D^b(X)$ encode the Ext functors for $\text{Coh}(X)$: we have $\text{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}[i]) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. With this in mind, we can rewrite Serre duality as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}) &\cong H^i(X, \mathcal{E}) & \Gamma(-) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -) \\ &\cong H^{\dim X - i}(X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X)^\vee & &\text{Serre duality} \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\dim X - i}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X)^\vee & \Gamma(-) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\dim X - i}(\mathcal{E}, \omega_X)^\vee & &\mathcal{E} \text{ locally free} \end{aligned}$$

This can now be upgraded to a functor on the entire category $D^b(X)$.

Serre Duality and Serre Functors II

Definition (Serre Functor)

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a k -linear category such that $\dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) < \infty$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. A **Serre functor on $\mathcal{A}$** is a k -linear equivalence $S: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an isomorphism

$$\eta_{A,B}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B, S(A))^{\vee}$$

natural in A and B .

Compare with the last slide:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{D}^b(X)}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}[i]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}) \stackrel{\text{Serre duality}}{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\dim X - i}(\mathcal{E}, \omega_X)^{\vee} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{D}^b(X)}(\mathcal{E}, \omega_X[\dim X - i])^{\vee}$$

$$S_X: \mathcal{E}^{\bullet} \mapsto (\mathcal{E}^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega_X)[\dim X] \text{ defines a Serre functor on } \operatorname{D}^b(X)$$

Key remark: If $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is **any** k -linear equivalence and $S_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{B}}$ are Serre functors on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively, then $S_{\mathcal{B}} \circ F \cong F \circ S_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Proof Sketch

Let $F: D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)$ be a k -linear exact equivalence. It can be shown that $\dim X = \dim Y$.

If $F(\mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$, **then** $F(\omega_X) \cong \omega_Y$:

$$F(\omega_X) = F(S_X(\mathcal{O}_X)[- \dim X]) \stackrel{\text{Key Remark}}{\cong} S_Y(F(\mathcal{O}_X))[- \dim Y] = S_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y)[- \dim Y] = \omega_Y$$

In fact, $F(\omega_X^{\pm n}) = \omega_Y^{\pm n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the **equivalence** F induces an **isomorphism**

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \omega_X^{\pm n}) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \omega_Y^{\pm n})$$

of the associated (anti)canonical rings. **If** we can show that $\omega_X^{\pm 1}$ being ample implies that $\omega_Y^{\pm 1}$ is ample, **then** we are done.

The **If**'s of the highlighted **If...**, **then...** phrases are the remaining technical heart of the proof. If time permits, we will sketch some further ideas going into their proofs at the end.

Example: Curves

Let us consider the case where $X = C$ is a smooth projective curve as an example. The deciding invariant is its **genus** g , which may be defined as $g = \dim_k H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = \dim_k H^0(C, \omega_C)$.

$g = 0$: If $g = 0$, then $C \cong \mathbb{P}_k^1$. In particular, the anticanonical bundle is $\omega_C^{-1} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(2)$, hence ample. Thus, if $D^b(C) \simeq D^b(X)$ for some smooth projective variety X , then $C \cong X$ by the **reconstruction theorem**.

$g = 1$: If $g = 1$, then $C \cong E$ is an elliptic curve, hence an abelian variety. In particular, $\omega_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ is trivial, hence not ample, and the **reconstruction theorem** does **NOT** apply. Nonetheless, it remains true that if $D^b(E) \simeq D^b(X)$ for some smooth projective variety X , then $E \cong X$. This uses the machinery of **Fourier–Mukai transforms**.

$g > 1$: If $g > 1$, then $\omega_C \cong \mathcal{O}_C(2g - 2)$ and $2g - 2 > 0$. In particular, the canonical bundle ω_C is ample. Thus, if $D^b(C) \simeq D^b(X)$ for some smooth projective variety X , then $C \cong X$ by the **reconstruction theorem**.

More Details for the Proof Sketch I

One key step is to give a **cohomological interpretation** of the points of a smooth projective variety and of its line bundles.

An object $\mathcal{P}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ is **point-like (of codimension d)**, if $S_X(\mathcal{P}^\bullet) \cong \mathcal{P}^\bullet[d]$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet, \mathcal{P}^\bullet[i]) = \begin{cases} \text{a field denoted } \kappa(\mathcal{P}^\bullet) & i = 0 \\ 0 & i < 0 \end{cases}$$

An object $\mathcal{L}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ is **invertible** if for each point-like object $\mathcal{P}^\bullet \in D^b(X)$ there is an integer $n_{\mathcal{P}^\bullet}$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(X)}(\mathcal{L}^\bullet, \mathcal{P}^\bullet[i]) = \begin{cases} \kappa(\mathcal{P}^\bullet) & i = n_{\mathcal{P}^\bullet} \\ 0 & i \neq n_{\mathcal{P}^\bullet} \end{cases}$$

One then shows that objects of the form $\kappa(x)[m]$, with $x \in X$ a closed point and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, are point-like and that any invertible object is of the form $\mathcal{L}[m]$, with $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic} X$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. **If** $\omega_X^{\pm 1}$ is ample, **then** the converse hold in each case (see next slide).

More Details for the Proof Sketch II

Let $F: D^b(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(Y)$ be as before. We obtain the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \{\text{point-like objects in } D^b(X)\} & \xleftarrow{1:1} & \{\text{point-like objects in } D^b(Y)\} \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \\
 \{\kappa(x)[m] \mid x \in X, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} & & \{\kappa(y)[m] \mid y \in Y, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\
 \\
 \{\text{invertible objects in } D^b(X)\} & \xleftarrow{1:1} & \{\text{invertible objects in } D^b(Y)\} \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \\
 \{\mathcal{L}[m] \mid \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } X, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} & & \{\mathcal{L}[m] \mid \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } Y, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}
 \end{array}$$

Note that $F(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is an invertible object of $D^b(Y)$, hence of the form $\mathcal{L}[m]$. Twisting F by $\mathcal{L}[m]$ we may assume that $F(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}_Y$. To conclude, it remains to show that **if** $\omega_X^{\pm 1}$ **ample**, **then** $\omega_Y^{\pm 1}$ **is ample**. This is quite technical and goes beyond the scope of this talk.

Thanks!